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Editor’s Preface

As in the case of other Lives of the Disciples written by Dr. Hellmuth Hecker, this Life of Aṅgulimāla, too, has been translated from the German Buddhist magazine Wissen und Wandel. In this English version, a few additions have been made to the life story (taken from the old commentary) and some extracts from the Aṅgulimāla Sutta have been included.

Out of the concluding stanzas, the verses 871–886 occur in both the Theragāthā and the Aṅgulimāla Sutta of the Majjhima Nikāya. These are given here in Venerable Ñāṇamoli’s translation, with a few changes. The last five stanzas (vv. 887–891), found only in the Theragāthā, have been translated by the editor.

Editor, The Wheel

Sources: Mv 1.41; MN 86; Th 866–891; Jāt 55, 398, 469, 513, 537; Ap No.6 v. 145; Miln 151; commentaries to MN 86 and to Th 866ff.
Aṅgulimāla

Aṅgulimāla, the robber and murderer, is one of the best known figures of the Buddhist scriptures, because of his dramatic life story. His conversion to monkhood and later to sainthood was exceptional as he seems to have been the only former criminal to be accepted into the Buddhist monastic order. The Buddha had often warned not to judge people from appearances and their external behaviour. In Aṅgulimāla’s case, the Buddha had seen his hidden potential to win freedom, not only from his present low moral status and from rebirth in the lowest worlds of painful existence, but that Aṅgulimāla would also be able to gain the highest freedom from all suffering in this very life.

In Christianity, too, we find some instances of radical changes in the moral character of people: there is the “thief on the cross” at Golgatha who was promised by Jesus that he would be with him in paradise the next day; and the chief of a gang of robbers who was converted by Francis of Assisi and became a monk. Cases like these have always moved the hearts of the religious-minded and have raised the question how such changes could be possible. Aṅgulimāla’s story might give an answer to these questions.

At the Buddha’s time, at the court of King Pasenadi of Kosala, there was a learned brahman called Bhaggava Gagga who held the office of a Royal Chaplain and was thus one of the kingdom’s highest dignitaries. One night his wife, Mantāṇī, gave birth to a son. Soon afterwards, the father cast the boy’s horoscope and to his consternation found that his son was born under the “robber-constellation” of the planets. This indicated that the boy would have within him a tendency to commit robbery. One can well imagine what the father must have felt when confronted with that shocking and unexpected revelation. On the day of the child’s birth, there was another disquieting event: all weapons and armoury in the city of Sāvatthī had suddenly begun to sparkle.

In the morning, the brahman went to the palace as usual, and asked the king how he had slept. “How could I have slept well?” replied the king. “I woke up in the night and saw that my auspicious weapons lying at the end of my bed were in bright sparkle, so I was afraid and perturbed. Should this mean danger to the kingdom or my life?”

The brahman said: “Do not have any fear, O King! The same strange thing happened in the entire city, and it does not concern you. Last night my wife bore me a son, and unfortunately his horoscope had the robber-constellation. This must have caused the weapons to sparkle.”

“Will he be a lone robber or the chief of a gang?”—“He will do it alone, your Majesty. What if we were to kill him now and prevent future misdeeds?”

“As he would be a loner, O Teacher, let him be raised and properly educated. Then, perhaps, he may lose his evil propensities.”

To further this aim, the boy was called Ahimsaka, which means “Harmless.” When he grew up, he was quite well behaved, and unusually strong in body. But he was also studious and intelligent. So his parents had good reason to think that any evil dispositions in their son had been removed by a good education, and by the religious atmosphere of the home. This made them, of course, very happy.

In due course, his father sent Ahimsaka for his traditional studies to Takkasilā (Taxila), the ancient and famous university of India. He was accepted by the foremost teacher of that seat of learning, and he continued to be so studious that he surpassed all his fellow students. He also served his teacher so faithfully and humbly, was of so pleasant speech and conduct, that he soon became his teacher’s favourite. He even received his food from his teacher’s family. And this made his fellow students very jealous: “Since that young Ahimsaka came, we are almost forgotten. We must put a stop to it and cause a break between him and the teacher.” The well-tried way of calumny was not easy as neither Ahimsaka’s studiousness nor his conduct and noble ancestry gave an opening for denigrating him. “We have to alienate the teacher from him and thus cause a break,” they thought; and so they decided that three groups of people should approach the teacher at intervals.
The first group of pupils went to the teacher and said, “Some talk is being heard around the house.” — “What is it, my dear?” — “We believe it is about Ahiṃsaka plotting against you.” Hearing this, the teacher became excited and scolded them: “Get away, you miserable lot! Do not try to cause dissention between me and my son!” After some time, the second set of pupils spoke to him in a similar way. So also a third group, which added: “If our teacher does not trust us, he may examine it himself and find out.”

Finally the poisonous seed of suspicion took root in his heart and he came to believe that Ahiṃsaka, so strong in body and mind, actually wanted to push him out. Once suspicion is roused, one can always find something that seems to confirm it. So the teacher’s suspicion grew into conviction. “I must kill him or get him killed,” he thought. But then he considered: “It will not be easy to kill such a strong man. Besides, if he is slain while living here as my pupil, it will harm my reputation and students may no longer come to me. I must think of some other device to get rid of him as well as punish him.”

It happened that soon afterwards Ahiṃsaka’s course of studies had come to an end, and he was preparing to go home. Then the teacher called him and said: “My dear Ahiṃsaka, for one who has completed his studies, it is a duty to give a gift of honour to his teacher. So give it to me!” — “Certainly, master! What shall I give?” — “You must bring me a thousand human little fingers of the right hand. This will then be your concluding ceremonial homage to the science you have learned.”

The teacher probably expected that Ahiṃsaka, in his attempt to complete that deed, would be killed himself or, being caught by the king’s men, would suffer the highest penalty of execution. Perhaps the teacher may also have secretly cast Ahiṃsaka’s horoscope, seen from it his latent propensity to violence and now tried to incite it.

Faced with such an outrageous demand, Ahiṃsaka first exclaimed: “O Master! How can I do that? My family never engaged in violence. They are harmless people.” — “Well, if the science does not receive its due ceremonial homage, it will yield no fruit for you.” Now Ahiṃsaka consented and, after worshipping his teacher, he left.

The stories of old on which this present narrative is based do not tell us what had moved Ahiṃsaka finally to accept his teacher’s macabre demand, without any further and stronger protest. One of his motivations may have been that an unquestioning obedience to the guru appeared to him as the first duty of a pupil, this being an echo from his earlier way of life that was governed by higher principles. But the stronger factor in his decision will probably have been that his hidden dispositions had actually emerged in his mind when vistas of violence were evoked by his teacher’s words. He may have felt attracted by a life of violent adventure as a challenge to his manly prowess.

Tradition tells that in one of his former lives he had been a powerful spirit, a so-called yakkha, who used his superhuman strength to hurt and kill living beings to satisfy his appetite for human flesh. In all his past experiences that are reported in the Jātakas, two traits are prominent in him: his physical strength and his lack of compassion. This was the dark heritage of his past which broke into his present life, submerging the good qualities of his early years.

So, in his final response to his teacher’s demand, he did not even think of the alternative, to gather the fingers from corpses thrown into India’s open charnel grounds. Instead he equipped himself with a set of the fivefold weaponry, among them a large sword, and went into the wild Jālinī forest in his home state, Kosala. There he lived on a high cliff from where he could observe the road below. When he saw travellers approaching, he hurried down, slew them and took one finger from each of his victims.

First he hung the fingers on a tree where birds ate the flesh and dropped the bones. When he saw that the bones were rotting on the ground, he threaded the finger bones and wore them as a garland. From that he received the nickname Aṅgulimāla, “He with the finger garland.”

As he went on killing, people shunned that forest and soon nobody dared to go there, not even the firewood gatherers. Aṅgulimāla now had to go into the vicinity of villages and, from a hiding place, attack people who passed, cutting off their fingers and making his necklace grow. He even went so
far as to enter houses at night, killing the inhabitants just for the taking of their fingers. He did this in several villages. As no one could resist Angulimāla's enormous strength, people had to leave their homes, and the villages became deserted. The homeless villagers, having trekked to Sāvatthī, camped at the outskirts of the city and went to the royal palace. Weeping and lamenting, they told the king of their plight. Now the king saw that firm action was necessary and he had the drum of royal announcements beaten to proclaim: “Quickly, the robber Angulimāla must be captured. Let an army detachment gather for instructions!”

Apparently, Angulimāla’s true name and descent had remained unknown. But his mother felt that it could not be anyone else than her son, Ahimsaka, who had never returned from Takkasilā and may have fallen into those evil ways predicted by his horoscope. So when she heard the public announcement, she went to her husband, the brahman Bhaggava, and said: “It is our son, that fearful bandit! Now soldiers have set out to capture him. Please, dear, go, find him and plead with him to change his life, and bring him home! Otherwise the king will have him killed.” But the brahman said, “I have no use for such a son. The king may do with him what he likes.”

But a mother’s heart is soft, and out of love for her son, she set out alone for the forest area where Angulimāla was reported to have been hiding. She wanted to warn him and save him, and to implore him to renounce his evil life and go back with her.

At that time Angulimāla had already gathered 999 fingers, and only one more was needed to complete the 1,000, the target set by his teacher. To bring his task to an end, he may well have killed his mother when seeing her on the road. But matricide is one of the five heinous offences that have an irreversible and immediate result. They lead to rebirth in the lowest hell. So, without his knowing it, Angulimāla, as it were, was close to hell’s rim.

In this situation—it was in the twentieth year of the Buddha’s teaching career—the Master, in surveying the world, became aware of Angulimāla. To the Buddha, with his faculty of remembering former existences, he was not unknown. In many lives they had met before, and often had the Bodhisatta conquered Angulimāla’s strength of body by his strength of mind. Once Angulimāla had even been a close relative of the Bodhisatta, his uncle (Jāt 513).

Now, when their lives had crossed again, and the Buddha saw the grave danger in which Angulimāla had placed himself, he did not hesitate to walk the thirty miles to meet him and save him. The Angulimāla Sutta says:

Cowherds, shepherds and ploughmen passing by saw him taking the road to where Angulimāla was, and said: “Do not take that road, monk. On that road is the bandit Angulimāla who is murderous, bloody-handed, given to harming and violence; he is merciless to all living beings. Villages and towns and districts are being laid waste by him. He is constantly murdering people, and he wears their fingers as a garland. Men have come along this road in groups of ten, twenty, thirty and even forty from time to time, but still they have fallen into Angulimāla’s hands.”

When this was said, the Blessed One went on in silence. For a second and a third time those people warned him. Still the Blessed One went on in silence. —MN 86

Angulimāla, from his look-out, saw first his mother approaching. Though recognising her, still the thought arose in him to complete the thousand fingers by killing her. So steeped was his mind in the habit of killing without scruples. At that moment the Buddha appeared on the road between Angulimāla and his mother. Seeing him, Angulimāla thought: “Why should I kill my mother for the sake of one finger when there is someone else? Let her live.” So he was still moved by merely seeing his mother, though he was not aware that she had gone that hard road out of love for him. To forsake getting his mother’s finger was, of course, made easier for him when he saw another figure, that of a monk, approach. He did not know, however, that it was a similar offence against the most sacred in life to kill an ascetic, a monk. He was only concerned with completing his thousand fingers.

The Sutta says:
Now Aṅgulimāla took up his sword and shield and buckled on his bow and quiver and he followed behind the Blessed One.

Then the Blessed One performed such a feat of supernormal power that the bandit Aṅgulimāla, going as fast as he could, was unable to catch up with the Blessed One, who was walking at his normal pace. Then he thought: “It is marvellous! Formerly I caught up with even a galloping elephant and seized it; I caught up with even a galloping horse and seized it; I caught up with even a galloping chariot and seized it; I caught up with even a galloping deer and seized it. But yet, though I am going as fast as I can, I am unable to catch up with this monk who is walking at his normal pace.” He stopped and called “Stop, monk! Stop, monk!”

“I have stopped, Aṅgulimāla. Do you stop, too.”

Then the bandit Aṅgulimāla thought: “These monks, followers of the Sakya scion, speak truth, assert truth; but though this monk is walking, yet he says ‘I have stopped, Aṅgulimāla; do you stop, too.’ Suppose I question the monk?”

Then he addressed the Blessed One in stanzas thus:

While you are walking monk, you tell me you have stopped;
But now, when I have stopped, you say I have not stopped.
I ask you now, O monk what is the meaning of it;
How is it you have stopped and I have not?”

(The Blessed One:

“Aṅgulimāla, I have stopped for ever,
Foreswearing violence to every living being;
But you have no restraint towards things that breathe;
So that is why I have stopped and you have not.”

When Aṅgulimāla heard these words, a second and greater change of heart came over him. He felt as if the current of his suppressed nobler and purer urges had broken through the dam of hardened cruelty that had been built up through habituation in all those last years of his life. Aṅgulimāla felt now deeply moved by the appearance and the words of the Buddha.

Aṅgulimāla’s response and what followed is again tersely told in the Sutta:

(Án̂gulim̂al̃a:)

“Oh, at long last a sage revered by me,
This monk, has now appeared in the great forest;
Indeed, I will for sure renounce all evil,
Hearing your stanzas showing the Dhamma.”

So saying, the bandit took his sword and weapons
And flung them in a gaping chasm’s pit;
The bandit worshipped the Sublime One’s feet,
And then and there asked for the Going-forth.
The Enlightened One, the Sage of Great Compassion,
The Teacher of the world with all its gods,
Addressed him with these words “Come bhikkhu,”
And that was how he became to be a bhikkhu.

Aṅgulimāla, who, as a warning to himself, had kept his notorious name, was now being introduced into the Dhamma and instructed in a monk’s conduct.

Not long afterwards, the Enlightened One, together with a large number of monks and with Aṅgulimāla as his attendant monk, set out to wander to Sāvatthī, which was Aṅgulimāla’s home territory, and he arrived there in stages.

The people of Sāvatthī, however, did not yet know about Aṅgulimāla’s great transformation, and they complained that the king had hesitated too long in sending out an army detachment to track and
capture Aṅgulimāla. Now, King Pasenadi himself at the head of a large group of his best soldiers, set out towards Angulimāla’s haunts, the Jālinī forest. On his way he passed the Jetavana Monastery where the Buddha had just arrived. Since for many years he had been a devoted follower of the Buddha, he stopped on his way to pay his respect to the Master.

The Buddha, seeing the soldiers, asked King Pasenadi whether he had been attacked by a neighbouring king and was going to war. The king said that there was no war, but he, at the head of his soldiers, was after a single man, the murderous Angulimāla. “But,” he said, “I shall never be able to put him down.”

Then the Exalted One said:

“But, great King, if you were to see Aṅgulimāla with shaven head and beard, clad in the yellow robe, gone forth from the home life into homelessness, and that he was abstaining from killing living beings, from taking that which is not given, and from false speech, and, eating only one time of day, he was living the life of purity in virtue and noble conduct—if you saw him thus, how would you treat him?”

“Venerable Sir, we should pay homage to him, invite him to accept the four requisites of a monk, and should arrange for his protection. But, how could such an unvirtuous person of evil character have such virtue and restraint?”

Then the Master extended his right arm and said: “Here, great King, this is Aṅgulimāla.”

The king was now greatly alarmed and fearful, and his hair stood on end. He had entirely lost his composure, so terrifying was Aṅgulimāla’s reputation. But the Buddha said: “Do not be afraid, great King. There is nothing for you to fear.”

When the king had regained his composure, he went over to the venerable Aṅgulimāla and asked him for the clan name of his father and mother. On hearing that his father was a Gagga by clan and his mother a Maṇtānī, he was greatly surprised to find that this Aṅgulimāla was not a person unknown to him: that he had known him as the son of his Royal Chaplain, and he remembered well the strange circumstances of his birth. It moved him deeply that the Buddha had been able to turn this cruel man into a gentle member of his Order. The king had asked for Aṅgulimāla’s descent, because he thought it unbefitting to address the monk by the name that was derived from his cruel deeds. The king now offered to support “the noble Gagga Mantāṇiputta” with all the monk’s requisites, that is, robes, food, shelter and medicine. But Aṅgulimāla had taken upon himself three of the strict ascetic observances (dūtaṅga): he was a forest dweller, lived on gathered alms-food only, and was a refuse-rag wearer, restricting himself to one set of three robes. Hence he replied: “I have enough, great King, my triple robe is complete.”

Then King Pasenadi turned again to the Buddha and exclaimed:

“It is wonderful, venerable sir, it is marvellous how the Blessed One subdues the unsubdued, pacifies the unpeaceful, calms the uncalm. Him whom we could not subdue with punishments and weapons the Blessed One has subdued without punishment and weapon.”

But it happened that, as soon as Aṅgulimāla had taken up going on alms-round, people fearfully ran from him and closed their doors. So it was in the outskirts of Sāvatthī where Aṅgulimāla had gone first, and it was the same in the city where Aṅgulimāla had hoped he would not be conspicuous. He could not get even a spoonful of food or a ladle of gruel during his alms-round.

The Vinaya (Book of Discipline) records (Mahāvagga I.41) that some people, seeing Angulimāla in robes, resented it and said: “How can these recluses, the monks of the Sakya scion, ordain a notorious criminal!” Monks who heard this told it to the Buddha who then proclaimed the rule: “Monks, a notorious criminal should not be ordained. He who does ordain such a one commits an offence of wrong-doing (dukkāta).” There are similar cautions in the same section of the book.

The Buddha knew well that, though he himself was able to perceive any existent potential for good in a criminal, those after him might not have that capacity, nor the authority to carry out whatever they understood. An acceptance of former criminals might also cause problems to the Order, if misused as a safe shelter by unrepenting criminals who wanted to escape arrest and punishment.
In response to that new rule, some people may have changed their attitude and gave alms to Aṅgulimāla when he stood before their door. Yet there was still a mood of hostility against him with most of the people, and Aṅgulimāla realised the futility of making alms-round in his home town. Still, dutifully, he continued.

Once, when going for alms, he saw or heard a woman in travail who was having much difficulty in bringing forth her child. At that, compassion arose in him and he thought: “How much do beings suffer! How much do they suffer!” On his return to the monastery, he told this to the Master who said:

“In that case, Aṅgulimāla, go into Sāvatthī and say to that woman: ‘Sister, since I was born I have never purposely deprived a living being of life. By that truth may you and the infant be safe!’”

“Venerable Sir, by telling that, should I not knowingly speak a falsehood? For many living beings have been purposely deprived of life by me.”

“Then, Aṅgulimāla, say to that woman: ‘Sister since I was born with the noble birth, I have never purposely deprived a living being of life. By this truth may you and the infant be safe!’”

Aṅgulimāla’s noble birth, or spiritual rebirth, began with his ordination as a monk and culminated in his attainment of sainthood.

Aṅgulimāla had it announced to that woman that he would be coming. People there put up a curtain in the woman’s room, and on the other side of the curtain a chair was placed on which the monk was to sit. Now Aṅgulimāla, having arrived at the woman’s house, made the asseveration of truth as Buddha had told him, and there was soon a safe delivery for mother and child.

Thus he who had destroyed so many lives was able to give life and well-being to others. For that reason, this episode must have deeply moved him. He also saw that samsāra was infinitely more cruel, being an incessant process of dying and being reborn only to die again.

Generally, the Buddha did not engage in “raising the dead” or in “spiritual healing.” He knew that those revived would still die one day. His was the greater compassion when he showed to beings the true state of Deathlessness and the way to acquire it.

But why did the Buddha make an exception in the case of Aṅgulimāla, and instruct him to use the power of truth for the purpose of healing? Here is a reflection by the teachers of old, the commentators: There may be those who ask: Why did the Blessed One make a monk do a physician’s work?—To that we answer: That is not what the Buddha did. An act of truth is not a medical function; it is done after reflecting on one’s own virtue. The Blessed One knew that Aṅgulimāla had wearied of collecting alms-food, because people were frightened when seeing him, and ran away. To help him in that situation, he let Aṅgulimāla do an act of truth.

People, namely, will then think: “Having engendered a thought of loving kindness, Aṅgulimāla Thera can now bring safety to people by an act of truth,” and so people will have confidence to go near him. Then Aṅgulimāla will no longer be wearied of collecting his alms food and will be fit to do a monk’s work. Until then, namely, Aṅgulimāla had not been able to focus his mind on the basic meditation subject which he had received, though he tried day and night. Before his mind’s eye had appeared the place in the jungle where he had slain so many people. He heard their plaintive voices imploring him: “Let me live, my Lord! I am a poor man and have many children!” He saw the frantic movements of their arms and legs when in fear of death. When faced with such memories, deep remorse gripped him and made him get up from his seat and leave.

Therefore, the Blessed One let him make that act of truth about his noble birth, expecting that Aṅgulimāla would then take his birth as something uncommon, and, strengthening his insight (vipassanā) would attain to sainthood.

So it was for these reasons that the Buddha made an exception by asking Aṅgulimāla to perform that act of truth. And for these two reasons, too, that episode proved to be of great help to Aṅgulimāla.
For that help, Aṅgulimāla showed gratitude to his Master in the best way possible: by perfecting the task set by the Buddha, the attainment of sainthood (arahatta).

It is said in the Sutta:

Dwelling alone, withdrawn, diligent, ardent, and self-controlled, the venerable Aṅgulimāla, by realisation himself here and now entered upon and abided in that supreme goal of the holy life for the sake of which noble sons rightly go forth from the home life into homelessness. He knew directly: “Birth is exhausted, the holy life has been lived out, what was to be done is done, there is no more of this to come.” And the venerable Aṅgulimāla became one of the arahats.

He was now reckoned among the eighty eminent arahats and most of the people had now full confidence in his inner transformation and that his earlier name, Ahiṃsaka, the Harmless, fully befitted him. Since the episode with the ailing woman, there was also no lack of support when he went on alms-round in Sāvatthī.

Yet there were still a few who could not forget that Aṅgulimāla the bandit, with his superior prowess, had shown them in their weakness and thus had humiliated them. Out of that resentment, as an act of revenge, they were mean enough to injure the venerable Aṅgulimāla by throwing stones and sticks which struck him when he had gone for alms. They must have done so from a safe distance.

Then with blood running from his injured head, with his bowl broken, and with his patchwork robe torn, the venerable Aṅgulimāla went to the Blessed One. The Blessed One saw him coming, and he told him: “Bear it, brāhmaṇa, bear it, brāhmaṇa! You have experienced here and now the ripening of kamma whose ripening you might have experienced in hell over many a year, many a century, many a millennium.”

Being a saint, his mind and heart were firm and invulnerable. But the body, the product of former craving, the symbol and fruit of previous kamma, was still there in present existence and was still exposed to the effects of former evil deeds. Even to the Buddha himself it happened that, as a result of former deeds, Devadatta was able to cause him a slight injury. Also his two chief disciples had to experience bodily violence. The venerable Sāriputta had been hit on the head by a mischievous demon, and the venerable Mahā-Moggallāna was even cruelly murdered. If this occurred in the case of these three Great Ones, how could Aṅgulimāla have fully avoided bodily harm—he who in his present life had committed so much evil! Yet, it was only his body that received these blows, but not his mind. That remained in invulnerable equipoise.

He, as an arahat, was also in no need of consolation or encouragement. Hence we may take the Buddha’s words in the sense of reminding Aṅgulimāla of the kammic concatenation of the law of sowing and reaping.

There is no other record about Aṅgulimāla’s later period of life than what himself said in the verses which follow. These tell us that he lived in such solitary places as forests, caves, and mountains and that, having finally made the right choice in his life, he spent his days in happiness.

These are the verses as recorded in the “Songs of the Elders” (Theragāthā):

Who once did live in recklessness
And then is reckless nevermore,
He shall illuminate the world
Like the full moon unveiled by cloud. [Th . 871; Dhp 172]

Who checks with profitable deeds
The evil kammās he has done,
He shall illuminate the world
Like the full moon unveiled by cloud. [Th 872; Dhp 173]

Who as a youthful bhikkhu shows
Devotion to the Buddha’s Teaching,
He shall illuminate the world
Like the full moon unveiled by cloud. [Th 873; Dhp 382]

O let my enemies but hear a discourse on the Doctrine,
O let my enemies follow the Buddha’s Teaching,
O let my enemies consort with such a kind of men
As serve the Doctrine because they are at peace. [Th 874]

O let my enemies give ear from time to time
And hear the Doctrine as told by men who preach forbearance,
By men who speak as well in praise of peacefulness,
And suit the while their actions to their words. [Th 875]

For sure, such foes would then not wish to harm me,
Nor would they think of harming other beings,
So those who would protect all beings, frail or strong,
Let them attain the highest all-surpassing peace. ¹ [Th 876]

Conduit-makers guide the water,
Fletchers straighten out the arrow,
Joiners straighten out the timber,
Wise men seek to tame themselves. [Th 877; Dhp 80]

There are some that tame with force,
Some with goads and some with lashes;
By one with neither rod nor weapon—
I am tamed by such as he. [Th 878]

“Non-harmer” is the name I bear
Who was a harmer in the past,
The name I bear is true today:
I hurt not any one at all. [Th 879]

And though I once lived as a bandit
With the name of “Finger-garland,”
And whom the great flood swept along,
I went for refuge to the Buddha. [Th 880]

And though I once was bloody-handed
With the name of “Finger-garland,”
See the refuge I have found:
What leads to rebirth is no more. [Th 881]

While doing many deeds that should have led
To birth in unhappy destinations,
Yet their result has reached me now;
And so I eat no more in (kammic) debt. [Th 882]

Oh, they are fools and have no wits
Who give themselves to recklessness;
But men of sense guard diligence
And treat it as their greatest good. [Th 883; Dhp 26]

Oh, give not way to recklessness
Nor harbour love of sense desires;
But diligently meditate
So as to reach the perfect bliss. [Th 884; Dhp 27]

¹ The commentary says that Aṅgulimāla spoke the verses 874–876 after he had been injured during his alms-round.
It was well-gotten and it did not fail me,
Not ill-advised (I have made that choice);
Of all the multifarious doctrines,
It is the best that I obtained. [Th 885]

It was well-gotten and it did not fail me,
Not ill-advised (I have made that choice);
The three Great knowledges I gained:
And all the Buddha’s bidding has been done. [Th 886]

I stayed in forests, at the root of a tree,
In mountain caves—
But with an agitated heart. [Th 887]

But now I rest and rise in happiness
And happily I spend my life.
For now I am free of Māra’s snares—
Oh! for the pity shown me by the Master! [Th 888]

A brahman was I by descent,
On both sides high and purely born.
Today I am the Master’s son,
My teacher is the Dhamma-King. [Th 889]

Free of craving, without grasping,
With guarded senses, well restrained,
Spewn forth have I the root of misery,
The end of all taints have I attained. [Th 890]

The Master has been served by me full well,
And all the Buddha’s bidding has been done.
The heavy load was finally laid down;
What leads to new becoming is cut off. [Th 891]
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